



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,489	06/14/2001	Gerhard Beckmann	107044-0011	7907

24267 7590 04/24/2003

CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02210

EXAMINER

YUAN, DAH WEI D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1745

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/881,489

Applicant(s)

BECKMANN ET AL.

Examiner

Dah-Wei D. Yuan

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 15-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,15-17 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,8 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4,5,6. 6) ☐ Other: _____

**APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR RAPIDLY INCREASING POWER OUTPUT
FROM A DIRECT OXIDEATION FUEL CELL**

Examiner: Yuan S.N. 09/881,489 Art Unit: 1745 April 17, 2003

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I-1, claims, 1 and 3-8, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Claims 2,9-14 were canceled and claims 15-19 were added in the preliminary amendment filed on February 26, 2003.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The limitation "...one or more conduits and one more valves to a source of fuel" in line 2 is unclear. The following change is suggested, "...one or more conduits and one or more valves to a source of fuel".

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Surampudi et al. (US 6,265,093 B1).

With respect to claim 1, Surampudi et al. teach a direct methanol fuel cell system comprising a direct methanol fuel cell sack (25), a source of pure (neat) methanol (900), a conduit coupled to a methanol source (900) and to a valve (904) for delivering the methanol to an anode in the fuel cell stack. See Figures 2 and 9. The fuel cell stack comprises an anode biplate (304) (i.e., anode in the instant specification), a cathode biplate (315) (i.e., cathode in the instant specification) and a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) (318). See Figure 5. The MEA comprises an anode (14) (i.e., anode diffusion layer in the instant specification), a cathode (16) (i.e., cathode diffusion layer in the instant specification) and a membrane (18). See Figure 1. The membrane is a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene and perfluorovinylether sulfonic acid and is proton-conducting. The cathode has platinum (catalyst) bonded to a first side of the membrane (18). The anode has platinum-ruthenium alloy particles bonded to a second side of the membrane. The direct methanol fuel cell system further comprises a controller (914) coupled to valves (904,912) wherein the controller controls the relative positions of the valves. See Figure 9. Furthermore, the controller is used to control the operation of the direct methanol fuel cell system of a vehicle by a methanol sensor, which detects the concentration of methanol in the circulation tank. Therefore, the controller is responsive to the motion of the vehicle by interacting with the methanol sensor. This is further supported by the fact that excess water, which results from higher power output, is discharged through a vent (954), which is also

controlled by the controller. See Column 4, Lines 5-45; Column 6, Lines 15-39; Column 15, Lines 15-43; Column 17, Line 66 to Column 18, Line 53.

With respect to claim 19, the direct methanol fuel cell system further comprises a pump (902) coupled to the methanol source (900) and the anode biplate (304), which comprises an anode flow field plat (314). See Figures 2 and 9; Column 15, Lines 36-39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi et al. (US 6,265,093 B1) in view of Sugita et al. (US 6,350,540).

With respect to claim 3, Surampudi et al. teach a direct oxidation fuel cell comprising a membrane electrode assembly, which is composed of an anode (14) (i.e., anode diffusion layer in the instant specification), a cathode (16) (i.e., cathode diffusion layer in the instant specification) and a membrane (18). Pure methanol is mixed with water to be used as fuel in the fuel cell stack. See Figure 1, Column 4, Lines 5-16; Column 17 Line 66 to Column 18, Line 7. Surampudi et al. do not teach the electrode diffusion layers having one or more apertures extending from a first

surface to a second surface. Sugita et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a membrane (18), an anode catalyst layer (50), a cathode catalyst layer (54), an anode diffusion layer (102) and a cathode diffusion layer (104). See Figure 6. The anode diffusion layer has a plurality of holes (110), which are formed through the anode gas diffusion layer (102) corresponding to the lands (14c) of the separator (14). The holes are used to transport the fuel to the electrode catalyst layer (50) and the solid polymer ion exchange membrane (18). As a result, the effective reaction area of the anode and cathode catalyst layers is increased. See Column 2, Lines 39-47; Column 6, Lines 5-23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more apertures extending through the anode diffusion layer on the direct methanol fuel cell system of Surampudi et al., because Sugita et al. teach the incorporation of conduits extending through the anode diffusion layer can increase the effective reaction area of the anode electrode catalyst layer and thus, improve the efficiency of the fuel cell system. The recitation "for use in a direct oxidation fuel cell" is considered as an integral limitation of the claim because of the significance of the fuel requirement.

With respect to claim 4, the gas diffusion layer of Surampudi et al. are connected to a methanol tank (900) via a conduit (918) and a valve (904). See Figure 9, Column 17, Line 66 to Column 18, Line 21. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more apertures extending through the anode diffusion layer on the membrane electrode assembly of Surampudi et al., because Sugita et al. teach the incorporation of conduits extending through the anode diffusion layer can increase the effective reaction area of the anode electrode catalyst layer and thus, improve the efficiency of the fuel cell system.

7. Claims 6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi et al. (US 6,265,093 B1) in view of Sugita et al. (US 6,350,540).

With respect to claim 6, Surampudi et al. teach a direct oxidation fuel cell comprising a membrane electrode assembly, which is composed of an anode (14) (i.e., anode diffusion layer in the instant specification), a cathode (16) (i.e., cathode diffusion layer in the instant specification) and a membrane (18). Pure methanol is mixed with water to be used as fuel in the fuel cell. The membrane is a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene and perfluorovinylether sulfonic acid and is proton-conducting. The cathode has platinum (catalyst) bonded to a first side of the membrane (18). The anode has platinum-ruthenium alloy particles bonded to a second side of the membrane. See Figure 1, Column 4, Lines 5-16; Column 6, Lines 15-17; Column 17 Line 66 to Column 18, Line 7. Surampudi et al. do not teach the electrode diffusion layers having one or more apertures extending from a first surface to a second surface. Sugita et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a membrane (18), an anode catalyst layer (50), a cathode catalyst layer (54), an anode diffusion layer (102) and a cathode diffusion layer (104). See Figure 6. The anode diffusion layer has a plurality of holes (110) which are formed through the anode gas diffusion layer (102) corresponding to the lands (14c) of the separator (14). The holes are used to transport the fuel to the electrode catalyst layer (50) and the solid polymer ion exchange membrane (18). As a result, the effective reaction area of the anode and cathode catalyst layers is increased. See Column 2, Lines 39-47; Column 6, Lines 5-23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more apertures extending through the

anode diffusion layer on the direct methanol fuel cell system of Surampudi et al., because Sugita et al. teach the incorporation of conduits extending into and through the anode diffusion layer can increase the effective reaction area of the anode electrode catalyst layer and thus, improve the efficiency of the fuel cell system. The recitation “for use with a direct oxidation fuel cell” is considered as an integral limitation of the claim because of the significance of the fuel requirement.

With respect to claim 7, the gas diffusion layer of Surampudi et al. are connected to a methanol tank (900) via a conduit (918) and a valve (904). See Figure 9, Column 17, Line 66 to Column 18, Line 21. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have one or more apertures extending through the anode diffusion layer on the membrane electrode assembly of Surampudi et al., because Sugita et al. teach the incorporation of conduits extending through the anode diffusion layer can increase the effective reaction area of the anode electrode catalyst layer and thus, improve the efficiency of the fuel cell system.

8. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surampudi et al. (US 6,265,093 B1) as applied to claims 1,19 above, and further in view of Sugita et al. (US 6,350,540).

With respect to claim 15, Surampudi et al. disclose a direct methanol fuel cell system as described above in Paragraph 4. However, Surampudi et al. do not disclose the presence of conduits extending to a first surface of the anode diffusion layer. Sugita et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a membrane (18), an anode catalyst layer (50), a cathode catalyst layer (54),

an anode diffusion layer (102) and a cathode diffusion layer (104). See Figure 6. The anode diffusion layer has a plurality of holes extending from a first surface to a second surface. Similarly, the cathode diffusion layer has a plurality of holes extending from a first surface to a second surface. As a result, the effective reaction area of the anode and cathode catalyst layers is increased. See Column 6, Lines 5-23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have at least one of the conduits extending to a first surface (the interface between anode diffusion layer (102) and anode catalyst layer (50) in Figure 6) of the anode diffusion layer on the direct methanol fuel cell system of Surampudi et al., because Sugita et al. teach the incorporation of conduits extending to a first surface of the anode diffusion layer can increase the effective reaction area of the anode electrode catalyst layer and thus, improve the efficiency of the fuel cell system.

With respect to claims 16,17, Sugita et al. teach a fuel cell system comprising a membrane (18), an anode catalyst layer (50), a cathode catalyst layer (54), an anode diffusion layer (102) and a cathode diffusion layer (104). See Figure 6. The anode diffusion layer has a plurality of holes (110) which are formed through the anode gas diffusion layer (102) corresponding to the lands (14c) of the separator (14). As a result, the effective reaction area of the anode and cathode catalyst layers is increased. See Column 6, Lines 5-23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have at least one of the conduits extending into as well as through the anode diffusion layer on the direct methanol fuel cell system of Surampudi et al., because Sugita et al. teach the incorporation of conduits extending

into and through the anode diffusion layer can increase the effective reaction area of the anode electrode catalyst layer and thus, improve the efficiency of the fuel cell system.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5,8,18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 5,8 would be allowable because the prior art does not disclose or suggest one or more apertures are lined with a material which is substantially impermeable to the fuel. Claims 17 would be allowable because the prior art does not disclose or suggest the at least one of the conduits extends directly to the protonically-conductive membrane.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Surampudi et al. (US 6,420,059) teach a direct methanol fuel cell system which is similar to the one disclosed by Surampudi et al. (US 6,265,093). Kawatsu (US 5,925,476) teaches a fuel cell having a control system that ensures a high output even when a catalyst carried on an electrode is poisoned. However, Kawatsu teaches the use of a reformer for reforming methanol and producing a hydrogen-rich gas as the reaction gas for the fuel cell system.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (703) 308-0766. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dah-Wei D. Yuan
April 17, 2003

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dah-Wei D. Yuan', with a stylized flourish at the end.